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PENDARUH UKURAN BIJI TERHADAP KEMAMPUAN TANAMAN TUMBUH KEMBALI SETELAH RUSAK AKIBAT HERBIVORI

Erniwati
Forestry Department Agriculture Faculty Bengkulu University
Jln. Raya Kandang Limun Bengkulu 38371A
ernioriq@unib.ac.id

ABSTRACT
The objective of the study is to investigate the effect of seed size in the resprouting ability of seedling after being damaged and whether it correlates with the reserve effect. We grew the seedlings of two species of oak, namely Quercus robur and Quercus petraea from different seed size, in a greenhouse. The seedlings were harvested at different stages; after emergence, at the first shoot fully developed, and after the seedling had re-grown after the initial shoot was clipped. The result showed that: firstly, at the time of full seedling development, large seeds translocated less percentage of reserve from the seed to seedling. After clipping, the seedlings from large seeds translocated additional resources to the seedling. Secondly, although the larger seed has the larger biomass to re-sprout, the total mass invested in re-sprouting proportional with seedling size. We concluded that the seedlings of larger seeds re-sprout better than those of the smaller seeds. However, we did not find the improved performances correlating with the Reserve Effect Theory.
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INTRODUCTION
Defoliation due to herbivory is one of environmental hazards that a seedling faces early in its establishment. The ability of a seedling to cope with it may be related to the size of the seed. Few studies have observed that seedlings from larger seeds can re-sprout and tolerate defoliation better than seedlings from small seeds. The ability of a seedling to recover after being damaged by herbivores or otherwise physically damaged may be linked to the quantity and utilization of its seed reserve (Foster et al, 1985).

Harms and Dalling (1997) simulated herbivory by removing 100% of the shoot 1 cm above the soil’s surface, during the seedling’s first
leaf stage of 13 Neotropical woody species. They found that only the largest-seeded species were be able to re-sprout, while smaller seeded species, which failed to re-sprout, died after clipping. A similar experiment was performed in 1999 on the seedling of tropical large seeded species, *Gustavia superba* and it showed the remarkable ability of cotyledons to regenerate up to eight new shoots (Dalling and Harms, 1999). Bonfil (1998) showed that seed size and cotyledon retention affected the ability of *Quercus rugosa* in Mexico to recover from herbivory, as both factors had a significant effect on relative growth rates after biomass removal.

One theory that might explain those phenomena is The Reserve Effect. The Reserve Effect, initially proposed by Westoby (1996) and later by Leishman and Murray (2001), explains that the seedlings of larger seeded species perform better because they have more mobilized reserves available to them during times of carbon deficit or for temporary support while replacing photosynthetic tissue lost through herbivory or mechanical damage. The key concept is that not only do large seeds have absolutely more stored energy reserves than smaller seeds, but that a greater proportion of seed reserves in larger seeded species remains uncommitted during seedling deployment, and is thus held in reserve to provide seedlings that germinate in hazardous environments (Westoby *et al.* 1996; Kidson and Westoby, 2000).

The seeds of *Quercus robur* and *Quercus petraea* varies between 1-15 g. Once the seeds grow to be young seedlings in the field, they become vulnerable to herbivory attack. Young seedlings of oaks are likely prone since many herbivorous insects prefer its newly expanded leaves. Insect attacks on oak seedlings are mainly done by caterpillars and to a lesser extent by weevils (Malphettes 1990; Harmer, 1995 *In Chaar, 1997*).

The objective of this experiment is to investigate how seed size affects the ability of young seedlings to re-sprout and whether there is any correlation between seed size and reserve-effect. In order to meet the objectives, the following questions were posed: (1) Is the translocation of seed reserve from cotyledon to seedling affected by seed size? (2) Does the variation in seed size or seedling size affect the re-sprouting ability? (3) Do the different nutrient and light level affect the translocation and re-sprouting ability?

In this study, two hypotheses are formulated: Hypothesis 1: Larger seeds save relatively more reserves than do smaller seeds. Hypothesis 2: Seedlings from larger seeds have a greater re-sprouting capacity (scale more than proportional) than those from smaller seeds.

---

**Figure 1.** Four seeds were positioned in clock-wise Direction, and treated as below
METHODOLOGY

The experiment was carried out in a greenhouse in Wageningen, The Netherlands, between April and August 2005. Fresh seeds were collected from ten adult trees for *Quercus robur* and four adult trees for *Quercus petraea* in the surroundings of Wageningen during October 2004.

The freshly collected acorns were submerged in water. Only sunken acorns were selected and stored in dry peat in a refrigerator that was kept at a constant temperature of 1 °C. At the start of the experiment, acorns were put in water again to re-hydrate them prior to planting. Seeds that were obviously rotten were discarded. To prevent genetic effects among the acorns, as we assumed that there were no differences among mother trees, seeds of individual trees in both species were mixed together. In both species, there was a wide variation in seed mass, which permitted the categorization of seeds into classes. They were split into 8 classes of seed mass for *Q. robur* and 6 classes for *Q. petraea*. Each class of species was replicated two or three times.

Forty eight viable acorn seeds were randomly selected from each class and were numbered individually. Individual fresh weight was recorded on balance with an accuracy of 0.01 g. The total fresh seed samples for *Q. robur* included 912 acorns with weights ranging from 0.84 to 11.95, and *Q. petraea* samples are 672, ranging from 0.28 to 7.14.

At the start of the experiment, four seeds from the same weight class and species were planted in 22 cm diameter pot containing Perlite. These four seeds were positioned in clock-wise Direction, and treated as below (see figure 1)

A. Seedling was harvested immediately after germination when the shoot reached above ground (germination stage)
B. Seedling was harvested after the first set of leaves is fully expanded. In this experiment, the seedlings were understood at full development when the first leaves are fully expanded
C. Seedling was subjected to simulate herbivory. Whole leaves were removed by scissors just after each seedling’s first set of true leaves become fully expanded (100% leaves removed).
D. The emerging seedling was grown continuously and seedling was harvested at the end of the experiment (uncipped seedling as a control)

The seedlings were harvested or clipped at a comparable developmental stage, rather than at same standard time. Therefore the time of clipping and harvesting were varied somewhat among individual.

Twelve pots from each species; *Q. robur* and *Q. petraea* of each seed size class and different nutrient level were randomly assigned to different light intensity. This yielded in total 228 pots for *Q. robur* and 164 for *Q. petraea*. A high nutrient treatment was applied individually to each pot by adding 2 g of slow releasing granular of Osmocote fertilizer (13% N, 13% P₂O₅, 13% K₂O, 2% MgO and 1% Fe). The low nutrient treatment was established by not applying fertilizer. The low light treatment was established by placing seedling in a table and covering it with one layer of shade mass to produce 5% sunlight. The high light treatment was not covered and it received 50% light.

The proportion reserves used was calculated by substracting the seed dry weight at the start of experiment with the seed dry weight after harvesting. Whereas percentage of reserves used was calculated by the following formula: (reserves used/cotyseed size) x 100%.

In this study, we could not measure the individual seed dry weight seedling directly; consequently we predicted their values from a regression equation. The dry weight of the individual seed was estimated by using the equation of fresh weight and dry weign, 56 of seeds from *Q. petraea* and 76 seeds from *Q. robur*. The data follow the linear relationship therefore the linear regression model was used for this equation.

The effects of seed size at clipping treatment under different level of light environment and nutrient treatment were tested using a factor split plot ANOVA for each species. In this model, light
level is the main plot factor nutrient level and clipping level is a sub plot factors and seed size as co variable. Seed mass and other variables were log-transformed to normalize data distribution and stabilize error term variances. All the analyses were carried out using the GLM Procedure in SPSS v12.0.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Result

Percentage reserves used and seed size at the germination stage

The percentage reserves used during germination stage was not significant on seed size in both species (Q. petraea: F\(_{(1,81)}\) =2.426, p=0.123; F\(_{robur\ (1,118)}\) =0.68, p=0.79). The results showed that the percentage of reserves transferred during germination varied between 0.1 to 30 % (Figure 2).

Percentage of cotyledon used and seed size at the fully developed stage

The percentage reserves used tended to decrease significantly as the seed size increased during the growth of the initial shoot in both species (Q. petraea: F\(_{(1,101)}\) =16, p=0.00; and Q. robur: F\(_{(1,114)}\) =31, p=0.00), while we found no significant difference in the nutrient effect (Q. petraea: p=0.253; Q. robur: p=0.892) and light effect (Q. petraea: P=0.401; Q. robur: p=0.086) at this stage. This result demonstrated that the seedlings from larger seeds using a smaller proportion of the seed reserves to grow their initial shoot than the seedling from smaller seeds. The average percentage of cotyledon transferred to seedling at the fully developed stage varied from 40 to 80% (Figure 3).

Percentage of cotyledon used and cotyledon size post clipping treatment

The percentage of reserves transferred to seedlings after clipping treatment was not significantly different on seed size in Q. petraea (F\(_{1,183}\) =0.006, p=0.936) but slightly differed in Q. robur, the percentage reserves used declined when the seed size increased (F\(_{1, 235}\) =7.36, p=0.007). On average, the percentage of reserves used ranged from 60 to 90% .

![Figure 2. There was no relationship between the seed size (g) and the percentage reserves transferred to the root during germination stage](image-url)
Figure 3. Relationship between seed size and percentage cotyledon used.

Figure 4. Relationship between seed size and percentage of cotyledon used after clipping treatment.

Cotyledon at start versus cotyledon at harvest in different stage

Figure 5 illustrates the change of reserves on cotyledon during the seedling growth. The dry weight of cotyledon decreased as the seedling developed and the rate of reduction was not different between species ($F=0.086$, $p=0.77$) (Figure 4).

Seedling biomass at point fully developed versus biomass re-sprouts

Analysis of variance showed that biomass re-sprout was significantly influenced by the size of the initial seedling ($p=0.000$) and light ($p=0.000$) and nutrients ($p=0.043$) but did not give any differences in species ($p=0.121$). Full light availability and bigger initial seedling biomass increased biomass of re-sprout (Figure 6).
Discussion

Seedling survival

More than 95% of the seedlings survived after clipping treatment in both species. The results showed that once seeds germinated, survival rates were quite high in all sizes of seeds. This indicates that the seedling survival in Q. petraea and Q. robur is not related to seed size. Our findings contrasted with Bonfil (1998) whose results showed that the seedling survival after cotyledon removal and shoot removal of oak (Q. laurina and Q. rugosa) correlated to seed size. Apparently the seedlings of oak suffered more from the combination of cotyledon removal and shoot removal than leaf removal alone. Therefore, we can conclude that Q. robur and Q. petraea are potentially tolerant of leaf removal early in their life cycle.

Furthermore, the high survival of the seedlings in our experiment might be related to the greenhouse condition where the experiment took place. Under the controlled optimum condition, the seedlings regardless seed size survived because there was no competition.
between plants, no exposing to pathogens, and having sufficient water resources.

**Proportion reserves used vs. seed size**

Our results showed that the percentage of cotyledon reserves transferred to seedling at germination stage is independent on seed size, light and nutrient treatment. It implies that the seedlings of *Q.robur* and *Q.petraea* acted proportionally in terms of consumption of seed reserves for root development during the germination stage. Bigger seeds took larger seed reserves and vice versa, yielding a similar percentage of reserves used in comparison with the small seeds.

In addition, the cotyledon reserves which have been consumed by the individual seedling vary between 0.1 to 30%. This variation might be caused by the variation of moisture content at some individual fresh weight of the seed. Since the dry weight of cotyledon was estimated by fresh weight, it was possible the same fresh weight of seed resulted in different dry weight. Another source of variation might be the differences in the rate of translocation of seed reserves from seed to root (Den Ouden, personal communication).

However, as predicted, the percentage of reserves that was transferred to the seedling during its initial growth is highly related to its seed size. The percentage reserves used decreased when the cotyledon size increased. One explanation for this pattern which is proposed by Westoby (1996) and Leishman and Murray (2001) is that the seed reserves that are transferred to seedling at the time of full seedling expansion of larger seed may have been proportionately smaller than those from smaller seed. The seedling from the larger seed allocated relatively less of its initial seed reserves to seedling growth and larger proportion of reserves is used during a time of resource deficit or imposed hazard such as herbivory damage. In contrast, the small seed tends to use all of its reserves to support the growth of initial seedling. In other word, small seeds spend their reserves at once and, as a consequence, small seeds do not have the ability to recover from herbivory or physical damage.

After clipping treatment, we expected the percentage seed reserves consumed would increase with the seed size. Surprisingly, the results were contrary to our prediction. We did not find the relationship between the percentage of seed reserves used and seed size, with either at clipped seedlings or unclipped seedlings at *Q.petraea*. However, with *Q.robur* the percentage reserves used slightly decreased as the seed size increased. In general, the pattern was similar to *Q.petraea*.

Besides, the amount of percentage of reserves used remained the same between clipped and unclipped seedling which varied between 60 - 90%. This percentage was not really different to the amount of percentage reserves used during the initial growth, which ranged from 40 to 80%. This indicates that after the initial shoot was fully developed, the seedlings did not use the reserves from cotyledon anymore, either for growing or resprouting. Probably soon after the fully developed stage terminated, all of the reserves of the cotyledon were transferred to the seedling. Therefore the seedlings were no longer dependent on cotyledon reserves. This idea is supported by previous experiments which concluded that oak seedling growth and survival are independent of their cotyledon after the initial seedling’s full development (Andersson and Frost, 1996; Sonesson, 1994;Garcia-Cebrian *et al.*, 2003).

Our results suggest that *Q. robur* and *Q. petraea* might not save their uncommitted reserves in the cotyledon, but a large proportion of the reserves might be stored in the root, while a small proportion are kept in the stem. The reason that these species save their reserves in the root or stem instead of in the cotyledon might be related to environmental pressure of acorns being eaten by seed predator such as Jays and rodents during seedling development ((Bossema, 1979; Den Ouden., 2005). Kabeya and Sakai (2003) argued that some of seed resources at *Quercus crispula* are allocated to the root and stored as reserves in an early period of seedling development. The translocation of these reserves to the root in early period of development may reduce the risk of the plants losing all their reserves through loss of their
cotyledon (Kabeya and Sakai, 2003). According to Ziegenhagen and Kausch (1995), young common oak are known to accumulate a certain amount of starch in their root. A large storage reserve in the root can cover the loss of carbon and make the plant less vulnerable to herbivory attack. It is a fact that the seedlings take the resources for re-sprouting from the seedling biomass (either from root or shoot). However, there was no indication that larger seedlings took relatively larger reserves from seedling biomass. Our result shows that the total mass invested in re-sprouting increased proportionally with increasing seedling biomass (Figure 6). In other word, the seedlings from larger and smaller seed did not respond differently in order to allocate reserves for re-sprouting.

The decrease of carbohydrate reserves in the cotyledon during shoot development showed a very similar trend under condition of low light and full light as well as nutrient and no nutrient (Figure 5). We expected that the reserves in cotyledon would be consumed in larger amounts under low light and low nutrient condition for the seedlings to survive. Hence, the results suggest that in both species, cotyledon reserves were not the main resources for survival under low light and low nutrient conditions. However, it is likely that seed reserves that are relocated to the root play an important role in survival under such conditions.

Figure 5 also confirms that after the stage fully development, there was a trend that the weight of cotyledons still decreased until the termination of the experiment. It is still not clear, whether the reduction was caused by cotyledon that was still transferring their reserves during that time or because of decaying or leaching. If cotyledon was still transferring the reserves, one explanation might be related to time at which the seedlings at the stage of full development were harvested, as this stage was sometimes difficult to identify accurately. The seedlings might have been harvested too early before their shoot had been fully expanded. However, if there was a transferal of reserves after the fully developed stage, the amount of transferring only represented a small portion (about 10 % in average).

The objective of this experiment is to investigate the role of seed size in re-sprouting ability of oak and whether it correlates to the Reserve Effect. This study showed that even though the larger seed can save relatively more reserves at full seedling development, the greater ability of the seedlings from larger seed to re-sprout was not dependent on this. In other word, their better ability was not related to Theory Reserve Effect. Green and Juniper (2004) also found that the Reserve Effect is not related to the greater ability of the seedling from larger seed to re-sprout. They argued that The Reserve Effect may have functional significance under other circumstances (Green and Juniper, 2004).

CONCLUSION

It become clear from this study that seedlings from large seed performed better than seedlings from small seeds in term of their re-sprouting ability after being damaged by herbivory. However, we did not find that the total mass invested in re-sprouting scale more than proportionately to seedling size. The results did not reveal a Reserve Effect, which predicted that total mass invested should have increased more than proportionately with the seedling size. Thus, concerning the question “Do large seeds of Q. robur and Q. petraea have an advantage by saving more resources for re-sprouting (or are there Reserve Effect)?” it can be answered that no Reserve Effect was found with these species.
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