# Comparation between Soil Performance Indicator and Lettuce Performance Indicator for Determining Soil Health

Perbandingan antara Indikator Kinerja Tanah dengan Indikator Tanaman Selada untuk Menentukan Kesehatan Tanah

## Riwandi and Merakati Handajaningsih

Department of Agroecotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Bengkulu

Jl. Raya Kandang Limun – Bengkulu, Indonesia

riwandi\_unib@yahoo.co.id

## **ABSTRACT**

Soil plays a central role in agricultura productivity since it is not only a medium for root growth but also as water resource as well as a home for microorganisms which in turn will effect soil health. Soil health of three regencies at Bengkulu Province, consisted of mineral and peat soil types, was evaluated according to field and laboratory analyses and was compared to bioassay analyses using lettuce plants grown in controlled cultivation. The comparation was aimed to determine if the two evaluation methods were suitable. The experiment was set up in a Completely Randomized Design with 18 soil samples (i.e. 3 mineral soil samples and 3 peat soil samples from Bengkulu City, Central Bengkulu, and Muko-Muko District) and 4 replications. In every regency, the evaluation of field and laboratory analyses showed that both mineral and peat soils were categorized as healthy or satisfactory healthy. All peat soil samples from Muko-Muko were categorized as healthy soils. The bioassay analyses resulted various soil status when soil samples were compared to each other. There was no difference between soil performance indicator and lettuce performance indicator when the two indicator methods were applied to each regency or the whole sample soil. High correlation (0.66) of the two indicators was resulted from soil of Bengkulu City, whereas negatif correlations was found on soil samples from Central Bengkulu.

Key words: bioassay, lettuce, mineral soil, peat soil, soil health indicator

#### **ABSTRAK**

Tanah peranan sentral dalam produktivitas pertanian mengingat tanah bukan saja sebagai tempat tumbuhnya akar tetapi juga sebagai penyimpanan air dan tempat hidup berbagai mikroorganisme yang berpengaruh pada kesehatan tanah. Kesehatan tanah dari tiga wilayah di propinsi Bengkulu, yang terdiri dari jenis tanah mineral dan tanah gambut, dievaluasi berdasarkan hasil analisis di lapang dan dilaboratorium kemudian dibandingkan dengan hasil analisis bioassay menggunakan tanaman selada yang ditanam di lingkungan yang terkendali. Penelitian bertujuan untuk menentukan kesesuaian kedua metode indikator tersebut. Rancangan Acak Lengkap digunakan sebagai desain penelitian dengan 18 contoh tanah, yaitu tanah mineral dan tanah gambut masing – masing 3 sampel yang diambil dari Kota Bengkulu, kabupaten Bengkulu Tengah dan kabupaten Muko-Muko. Pada setiap sampel dibuat 4 ulangan. Hasil analisis lapangan maupun laboratorium pada setiap kabupaten menunjukkan bahwa tanah mineral ataupun gambut masuk dalam kategori sehat dan cukup sehat. Seluruh sampel tanah gambut dari Muko – Muko masuk dalam kategori sehat . Hasil bioassay dengan tanaman selada menunjukkan status yang bervariasi namun tidak ada perbedaan nyata antara kedua metode yang digunakan. Korelasi yang tinggi (0,66) antara dua metode indikator ditunjukkan pada tanah yang berasal dari Kota Bengkulu, sedangkan korelasi negatif dijumpai pada tanah di Bengkulu Tengah,

Kata kunci : bioassay, indikator kesehatan tanah, selada, tanah gambut, tanah mineral

### INTRODUCTION

Soil has a very strategic function as a major medium for agriculture and woody plant production, as a controller of water absorption and quality, recycle mineral elements and organic materials, and as a filter of potentially pollutant substances (Idowu *et al.*, 2010a,b). It also has its centered role as a medium for root growth and storage for water, as a home for microorganisms, earthworms, and various insects which have important effects on soil characteristics. The characteristics of soil in turn will effect the plant quality.

Table 1. Scoring criteria for lettuce performance indicator

indicator	
Indicator of Plant Components	Scoring
Plant Height	0-6  cm = 1
	7-12  cm = 2
	13-18  cm = 3
	19-24  cm = 4
	25-30  cm = 5
Degree of leaf greenness *)	0-8=1
	9-16=2
	17-24=3
	25-32=4
	33-40=5
Shoot: Root Ratio (% relative)	0-20% = 1
	21-40% = 2
	41-60% = 3
	61-80% = 4
	81-100% = 5
Number of leaves	0-2=1
	3-4=2
	5 - 6 = 3
	7-8=4>
	8=5
Fresh weight	0-20 g = 1
	21-40 g=2
	41-60 g = 3
	61-80 g = 4 >
	80 g = 5

<sup>\*)</sup> measured by SPAD meter

Soil fertility is defined as the capability of soil to provide nutrition elements in a quantity sufficient for plant to grow and to complete its life cycle. Soil health is a combination of optimum soil properties (physical, chemical, and biology) to increase quality and productivity of soil, plant, and environment. The plant status then could be an

indicator of soil health since plants will behave in correspond to soil condition. The response to soil status is expressed on its growth and yield. It is commonly known that soil laboratory analysis involves intricate procedures, time consuming, and needs high-skill labor. In contrast, bioassay indicator implemented easier and even the soil status can be interpreted while the plants are still on the field.

Lipton (1995) mentioned four plants commonly used in bioassay experiments; they are corn, lettuce, tomato and sunflower. In this research, lettuce was used as soil health indicator. Lettuce has a short harvest time which would be more efficient if this plant is used as soil health indicator. The responses of lettuce to nutrient uptake and the partitioning on plant part had been reviewed in some experiments such as the increasing of N P K uptake in accordance with increasing level of N (Ekonomakis and Koleilat, 2009), remobilizing of N, P, K to the head part as a dominant sink (Huett and Detmann, 1992), and the increasing of Ca content in high temperature (Neeser *et al.*, 2007).

The objectives of this experiment were (1). to evaluate the growth and yield of lettuce grown on different soil health, (2) to evaluate if there was a suitability between soil status based on visual and laboratory analyses and soil status based on plant growth and yield parameters, and to evaluate the correlation between soil performance indicator and bioassay indicator using lettuce plant.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Soil samples were taken from three different regency areas in Bengkulu Province each of which consisted of three peat soil samples and three mineral soil samples to give a total of 18 samples. The visual and laboratory procedures were determined for standard soil analyses (Bierman, 2007; Balittanah, 2005).

Bioassay experiments were arranged in Completely Randomized Design with single factor i.e. 18 soil accessions and 4 replications. Lettuce (bioassay) experiment was carried out under plastic house from June to August 2009. Soil samples were air-dried and were put into polybags (35 cm x 40 cm) and then arranged under plastic house. A bowl was placed under each polybag to catch excessive water from irrigation. This would assure that no element nutrition leached out of the media. Two seeds of lettuce were planted in each polybag, selected and left into 1 plant 7 days after planting. No fertilizer was applied. Plants were watered in the morning and in the late afternoon. The excessive water in the bowl (if any) was poured back into the media. Seeds were spread on plastic box filled with sand and

manure (1:1 v/v) and were transplanted after 21 days. Data from field were collected at harvest time, that was 45 days after transplanting. The plants then were dried in the Lindenberg oven at 60 °C. The scoring was carried out according to the standard determined previously (Table 1). Plant height was the primary lettuce plant indcator, followed by leaf greenness, shoot: root ratio and plant fresh weight. Total score which was presented in percentage was the sum of scoring value of each indicator.

Table 2. Soil health status based on soil performance from three areas in Bengkulu Province

	-		•	
Sampling Area	Soil Code	Soil Type	Total Score*)	Soil Status
Bengkulu City	KL 6	Mineral	65	H ealthy
-	KL9	Mineral	52	Satisfy
	BR 14	Mineral	69	Healthy
	KL10	Peat	63	Healthy
	BR 16	Peat	74	Healthy
	BR 5	Peat	50	Satisfy
Central Bengkulu	PB 5	Mineral	59	Satisfy
<u> </u>	PB 7	Mineral	68	Healthy
	PB 12	Mineral	59	Satisfy
	PB 6	Peat	60	Satisfy
	PB 13	Peat	71	Healthy
	PB 14	Peat	76	Healthy
MukoMuko - North Bengkulu	SM 12	Mineral	71	Healthy
_	SM 6	Mineral	58	Satisfy
	SM 14	Mineral	44	Satisfy
	SM 1	Peat	77	Healthy
	SM 15	Peat	73	Healthy
	SM 10	Peat	66	Healthy

Note: \*) total score = sum of soil performance indicators on the field and laboratory analyses. Soil performance indicators on the field are Soil Color, Soil Moisture Content, Slope, Texture, Peat Maturity, Soil structure, Organic Matter, pH ( $H_20$ ), Earthworm Population, LCC, Soil Erosion, Soil Compaction, and Vegetation Performance. Soil performance indicators on laboratory analyses are C (%), N (%), P2O5 Bray (ppm P), KTK (cmol(+) kg<sup>-1</sup>), Ca (cmol(+) kg<sup>-1</sup>), Mg(cmol(+) kg<sup>-1</sup>), K(cmol(+) kg<sup>-1</sup>), Bases saturation (%), Al saturation (%), and DHL(dS/m).

Table 3. The status of soil health based on bioassay indicators of lettuce plants grown on soil samples from Bengkulu City

Soil Code	Soil Type	Plant Height (cm)	Degree of Greenness	Shoot : Root Ratio	% S : R Ratio	No. Of Leaves	Fresh Weight (g)	Total score	%	Status
KL6	Mineral	18	21	5	23	13	40	15	56	Satisfy
KL 9	Mineral	16	26	5	23	11	28	16	60	Satisfy
BR14	Mineral	18	24	7	32	14	60	16	60	Satisfy
KL10	Peat	18	19	6	28	12	38	15	56	Satisfy
BR16	Peat	18	26	5	24	12	47	17	64	Healthy
BR5	Peat	18	15	6	28	11	38	14	52	Satisfy

	Centrari	Cligkulu								
Soil Code	Soil Type	Plant Height (cm)	Degree of Greenness	Shoot : Root Ratio	%S: R Ratio	No. of Leaves	Fresh Weight (g)	Total score	%	Status
PB5	Mineral	16	24	5	25	12	51	16	60	Satisfy
PB7	Mineral	15	17	5	23	9	20	14	52	Satisfy
PB12	Mineral	15	45	6	30	10	21	17	64	Healthy
PB6	Peat	21	22	9	42	12	42	18	64	Healthy
PB13	Peat	14	23	4	22	9	17	14	52	Satisfy
PB14	Peat	18	27	8	37	13	63	18	68	Healthy

Tabel 4. The status of soil health based on bioassay indicators of lettuce plants grown on soil samples from Central Bengkulu

Table 5. The status of soil health based on bioassay indicators of lettuce plants grown on soil samples from Muko-Muko

Soil Code	Soi1 Type	Plant Height (cm)	Degree of Greenness	Shoot : Root Ratio	%S: R Ratio	No. of Leaves	Fresh Weight (g)	Total scor	%	Status
SM12	Mineral	22	39	21	100	15	68	23	80	Healthy
SM6	Mineral	10	14	3	13	7	10	10	40	UnHealthy
SM14	Mineral	17	25	4	20	14	75	17	68	Healthy
SM1	Peat	14	18	7	36	10	29	15	56	Satisfy
SM15	Peat	22	19	12	59	14	53	18	64	Healthy
SM10	Peat	17	25	6	27	11	41	17	64	Healthy

Table 6. The T-test and correlation values of soil health between soil performance indicator and bioassay indicator of lettuce plant

Paired- comparisor	Standard error	T value	df	Probability (P)	Correlation (r)	
1A vs 1B	3.02	-1.38	5	0.28	0.66	
2A vs 2B	4.25	-1.29	5	0.25	-0.12	
3A vs 3B	6.97	-0.41	5	0.70	0.10	
ATA1 vs ATA2	2.74	-1.48	17	0.16	0.17	

Note: 1A = soil performance indicator of sample soil from Bengkulu City; 2A = soil performance indicator of sample soil from Central Bengkulu; 3A = soil performance indicator of sample soil from Muko-Muko; 1B = bioassay indicator of lettuce grown on soil sample from Bengkulu City; 2B = bioassay indicator of lettuce grown on soil sample from Central Bengkulu; 3B = bioassay indicator of lettuce grown on soil sample from Muko-Muko; ATA1 = soil performance indicator of sample soil from Bengkulu City, Central Bengkulu, and Muko-Muko; ATA2 = bioassay indicator of lettuce grown on soil sample from Bengkulu City, Central Bengkulu, and Muko-Muko

Data collected from the experiment was analyzed using T-test to determine the suitability indicator between lettuce and soil indicator. Test of correlation was also completed to show the general correlation between soil and bioassay indicators in each regency area.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experiment demonstrated that the status of the 18 soil samples could be classified as healthy and satisfy soils both in mineral and peat soils. Exception was found on peat sample soils from Sumber Makmur, Muko-Muko in which they

are all categorized as healthy (Table 2).

The analyses of lettuce bioassay showed that the soil health, expressed on growth and yield of lettuce, was categorized as healthy, satisfactory healthy, and unhealthy (Table 3, 4, and 5). Unhealthy soil was found in sample SM6 from MukoMuko in which based on soil performance indicator it was classified as satisfactory healthy. The plants grown on this soil showed stunted growth both shoot and root with yellow leaves indicating that nutrient uptake was impeded. Additional analyses should be taken to revealed this fenomena.

Even though the result between soil

laboratory indicator and lettuce indicator was not consistent when comparation made on the same soil sample, the T-Test (Table 6) proved no significantly different between the two soil health indicators. This means that lettuce can be used as bioassay indicator to evaluate soil health in wide soil area. Highest correlation between the two indicator methods was shown on soil from Bengkulu City compared to that of Central Bengkulu and Muko – Muko. This is due to the fact that soil of Bengkulu City covers the fertility properties, such as granule structure, pH 5.0 -6.0, and moderate soil moisture, which are suitable for the growth of lettuce plants. Moreover, aluminium concentration was found lower than the other soil samples. Low negative correlation was found on soil from Central Bengkulu.

### **CONCLUSION**

The indicator of soil performance was suitable with plant bioassay indicator. High correlation was only applied between Soil Indicator and Bioassay Indicator for soil of Bengkulu City. Soil health status of three different areas in Bengkulu was categorized as healthy and satisfactory healthy. All peat soil samples of Muko - Muko , North Bengkulu were categorized as healthy soil.

### REFERENCES

- Balittanah, 2005. Petunjuk analisis tanah, air, pupuk, dan tanaman. Penelitian dan Pengembangan Tanah dan Agroklimat, Bogor.
- Bierman, P. 2007. Ohio soil health card. Centers at Piketon, Ohio State Univ. http://www.ag.ohio-state.edu/-pre. 29 August 2010.
- Ekonomakis, C. D. and R. Koleilat. 2009. Effect of nitrogen concentration on growth, water,

- and nutrient uptake of lettuce plants in solution culture. HortSci 38(): 222 227. http://www.actahort.org/members/showpdf. 29 August 2010.
- Gugino, B.K., O.J. Idowu, R.R. Schindelbeck, , van Es, H.M., D.W.Wolfe, , J.E. Thies, and G. S. Abawi, 2007. Cornell Soil Health Assessment Training Manual, Edition 1.2., Cornell University, N.Y.
- Huett, D.O. and E.B. Dettmann. 1992. Nutrient uptake and partitioning by zucchini squash, head lettuce and potato in response to nitrogen. Australian J. Agric. Res. 43(7): 1653 1665.
- Idowu, O.J., H. van Es, R.R. Schindelbeck, G. Abawi, D. Wolfe, J. Thies, B. Gugino, B. Moebius, and D. Clune. 2010a. Soil Health Assessment and Management: The Concepts. A Newsletter for New York Field Crops & Soils. Volume 16, Number 2, Mar-Apr, 2006. www.nnyagdev.org/PDF/SOILHEALTHFSPart2.pdf 29 November 2010.
- Idowu, J., B. Moebius, H. van Es, R.R. Schindelbeck, G. Abawi, D. Wolfe, J. Thies, B. Gugino, and D. Clune. 2010b. Soil Health Assessment and Management: Measurements and Results. www.nny agdev.org/PDF/SOILHEALT HFSPart2. pdf Download November, 29, 2010.
- Liptan. 1995. Pendugaan kesuburan tanah dengan cara yenny pot Test. Lembar Informasi Pertanian BIP Irian Jaya. No 149/95.
- Neeser, C., N. Savidov, and D. Driedger. 2007. Production of hydroponically grown calcium fortified Lettuce. Acta Hort. 774.: International Symposium on Human Health Effects of Fruits and Vegetables. http://www.actahort.org/members/showpdf. 29 August 2010.
- Tisdale, S.T. and W.L. Nelson. 1966. Soil Fertility and Fertilizer. Mac Millan, New York.